REVIEW of Hunter Valley

Mining Dialogue 2011 to 2014



SSHEG DOCUMENT MAY 2015

Mining Pollution Mitigation Priority Action

Following four(4) years of NSW Government Authorities investigations into Air Pollution in the Upper Hunter Valley, it took the World Health Organisation (WHO) announcement in October 2013 to confirm the connection between Air Pollution and Human Cancers. – " A GAME CHANGER"

Document prepared to identify the SSHEG expectations and the Pollution areas in which investigations were expected to have been reported upon.

SSHEG VISUALISATION OF AIL QUALITY ISSUES

Mine Blasting Dust & Drifting Plumes



Coexistence : Fact or Fiction

ssheg@hotmail.com

SYNOPSIS

The last four years for the Hunter Valley Mining Dialogue has focused on many of the "*Ideas for Action*" identified at the July 2011 Mining Dialogue (MD) Workshop.

The SSHEG Review examines against **Appendix M1** the degree to which the four years of the **MD** have addressed SSHEG concerns, and specifically aims to identify where a renewed focus is warranted given the developments and findings of the four individual **MD** Joint Working Groups; Synoptic Plan, Water, Emissions & Health and Social Impact.

Idea for action Mining Dialogue Workshop July 2011	V	otes	
Synoptic Plan: Urgent development of a new synoptic plan: strong communi synoptic plan: strong communi Synoptic input - include land class - ensure plan is a living document. Water study for the Hunter Valley to understand aquifers and surface water.	ptic Plan	49 v 28	147
Independent and transparent water assessment of mining projects (funding and train for more water experts who can work independently of mining companies?).	ning A T	26	
2005 Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources Stream/Aquifer Guideline ¹ – Implement the aquifer standards outlined in the Guideline (150m from e of alluvium).	edge F	25	109
Health Risk Assessments to go into Director General requirements, looking particula long term health issues as well as short term, intense exposure. Assessment to be exploration stage.		24	112

"Stakeholder Workshop Report, Upper Hunter Mining Dialogue, 2011 Report" extract

Clearly as the Primary SSHEG "*Focus is on Health*", it is evident that the Highest Priority "*Idea for Action*" in the Emissions and Health Working Group, namely "Health Risk Assessments" has made little progress and now needs Urgent progress. This view is further reinforced by the Health Risk Theme contained in the SSHEG 2009 submission detailed below.

SSHEG Submission to NSW Government 11 Nov 2009 is an urgent call for an Independent Scientific Study for Singleton Shire Residents; to:-

- 1. ascertain the health status of the residents of Singleton Shire relative to State averages and other relevant comparators;
- 2. identify and quantify health risks associated with air and rural rainwater quality;
- 3. provide real-time, independent monitoring of industrial emissions in the air of Singleton Shire, with composite analysis, and easy public access to the information it generates, and a transparent mechanism for redressing any risks that are identified;
- 4. investigate the relationship between industrial emissions in the Singleton Shire and the health of the residents;
- 5. monitor and report pollutant loads in water tanks, especially in rural areas of the Shire that are dependent on rainwater for all purposes.

By December 2010 SSHEG outlined issues of concern in a Community Reporting Presentation; "What do we expect of the Expert Advisory Panel" (Appendix M8)

Now five years later in 2015 a better understanding of the Hunter Valley Air Quality Metrics and Pollution Emission Sources has emerged including how they can interact with "Near Neighbour's and the Singleton Shire Communities Health and Disease.

Human Disease Risk from Air Pollution has been confirmed by WHO Oct 2013 Air Pollution Carcinogen announcements (**H 22**), having taken 30 to 40 years of Epidemiology Cohort Studies to achieve this.

Further detailing of *Appendix M8* concerns are now summarised in the SSHEG 2014 Health Study Progress Report (*Appendix M9*)

All things being considered, eight key issues are couched during this review to better target and refine the Pollution – Human Disease Short Term(15 Minute) Exposure understanding with a view to further enhancing Pollution Emission Mitigation Controls recently developed in the Hunter Valley.

The SSHEG Review 2015 "Focus on Health" Priorities are summarised as follows:-

- **1.** Health Risk Assessments
- 2. Cumulative Health Impacts Air Quality & Noise
- **3.** New Health Research Methods need to be developed for Health Study approaches for small Community Localities.
- **4.** "Near Neighbours are Occupationally Exposed Persons to Mining", and especially from Returning to Ground Mine Blast Plumes.
- **5.** 24Hr continuous Particulate Matter PM10 Realtime Monitors at around 76 locations are mostly under utalised for reducing Dust and Pollution Emissions.
- **6.** "Data Averaging" distorts the Pollution Emission reporting; while at the same time they equate "Average Values as though they are indicative of Resident's Minute to Minute Pollution" Exposures and Disease Risks.
- 7. Holistic Technical Investigation using Particulate Real Time Monitors (PM10, PM2.5 & PM1) and the collection of Airborne Particulates on special Filter Media and their Composite Analysis and Source Identification.
- 8. Definitive Air Composition and Speciation Analysis, from which the Health Risk considerations may be evaluated for Short Term (15 Minute Exposures) leading to better targeted Pollution Mitigation Controls to safeguard the Health of the Community.

Refer Appendix M7 for SSHEG MD Review Tabular Comparison Presentation with Comments.

Outline of SSHEG Focus on Health

"SSHEG contend that all Major Projects, especially Mining and Power Station Operations, both Existing and Proposed should be subject to Cumulative Health Impact Studies and Health Risk Assessments in order to restore the balance between Employment and Financial Revenue on one hand, and Community Health on the other hand". Don't ignore WHO Air Pollution carcinogen announcements in Oct 2013.

It is clear that new Health Research Methods need to be developed to provide perhaps a Real Time Technological based Health Study approach which will overcome the current Medical Impasse where Epidemiology Cohort studies for small Community Localities are statistically excluded from Health Studies.

In the meantime the Coal Mining juggernaut rolls on unchecked, creating unbearable "Dust, Fumes, Plumes, Fly Ash, Combustion Gases, Diesel Exhaust Pollution, Incessant Mine Noise and Trains rattling through beside Houses, Ground Vibrations, Glaring lights, Sleep disturbances, Psychological Pressure, Irrigation Creek Water unfit for cropping, squeezing out Near Neighbours and Villages, encircling Villages and "plundered Communities and Prime Agricultural Land".

It should be noted ** that Individual Open Cut Coal Mines across the Hunter Valley from 2006 onwards provided 24Hr continuous Particulate Matter PM10 Realtime Monitors at around 76 locations (2013 SSHEG Senate Attachment S15). These Monitors are mostly under utalised for reducing Dust and Pollution Emissions, being tagged as "Realtime PM10 Monitors" but reported as 24 Hour Average PM10 Monitors".

The insistence by "Environmental Authorities" to allowed the farcical situation of "Data Averaging" to flourish and distort the Pollution Emission reporting by Operating Industries in NSW; while at the same time equating this to Resident's Minute to Minute Pollution Exposures to Gases, Dust, Fumes and Vapours and the Hunter Valley Brown Haze Aerosols build up during the Day, and with Industry impunity.

A strong argument therefore exists to base PM measurements on 15 Minute Averages to bring "*Near Neighbours who are Occupationally Exposed Persons to Mining*" onto the same footing as Underground Miners exposed to Air Quality similar to that being experienced from time to time by farming families above ground nearby.

SSHEG were of the opinion in Oct 2011 that an Holistic Technical Investigation using Particulate Real Time Monitors (PM10, PM2.5 & PM1) and the collection of Airborne Particulates on special Filter Media from these monitor sampling streams was needed to determine what substances were in the Air.

Our Atmosphere is a mixture of Air constituent Gases - O2 - N2 - Ar - water vapour - trace gases; Pollutants - Gases , Ozone, Dioxin - Vapours - liquid droplets -Composite Particulates - Fly Ash, VOC's - aerosols, Pollens, Spores, Fungi, and other Biological materials and Organisms.

The Time of Day Measurement variations of each component part of the Air is the mixture the Community breaths, and it is not unreasonable to expect the above constituent Measurements would form the level of detail knowledge needed to establish a definitive Air Composition and Speciation Analysis, from which the Health Risk considerations may be evaluated, leading to better targeted Pollution Mitigation Controls to safeguard the Health of the Community.

The Health Risk is Real, as all it takes is a repeat like the 1948 Donora Pennsylvania five day stable layer of Valley Air where on day three 17 (1 in 1000) people died; overall 40% Health affected, 800 animals died with 15% dogs dying.

Context of the SSHEG MD Review

It was the Respiratory Health especially of School Children and unusual Community Diseases that caused alarm for Singleton's local General Practitioner Dr Au around 2005. After little recognition and although Alerted to this, the NSW Health Authorities initially failed to act regarding the reported Community perceived association between Resident's Disease prevalence and the quickening pace of Open Cut Mining and Coal Fired Power Stations Pollution Emissions.

Earlier in 2000 the location of "*Sydney's Super Waste Disposal Dump in Mine Voids*" (H2 p99) began to identify the Pollution impact on "*Near Neighbours to Mining and Power Stations in the Hunter Valley*"; with effective "*Real Time Monitoring and Analysis*" being needed to understand the Scope of the Disease Threat to the Rural Communities.

Placing Mine Pollution Emission Sources in and amongst Farming Families without "Buffer Protection Zones" is the "Heart of the Human Disease Risk".

The enclosed nature of the Hunter Valley and the concentrated presence of Coal Reserves located beneath Rich Agricultural Land, and with Open Cut Coal Mines digging it out beside Farmers ploughing their land, all exasperate the rapid deterioration in Air Quality particularly for these "Near Neighbours".(H2 p 35 Map 9)

The Response came in 2010 when the NSW Government Authorities and Agencies; initially with Dr Au, Singleton Shire Healthy Environment Members and NSW Department of Health; and then over four years together with Major Hunter Valley Industries; and later with a broadening range of dedicated Community members including as an Open *"Mining Dialogue"* with Industry and Planning Leaders focused to Mitigate and Validate Community Health, Environmental, Planning and Social Impact Concerns.

The Mining Dialogue Mission Statements reads like this:-

"The Upper Hunter Mining Dialogue is a new way for the Community, Government, and the Mining Industry to work together in the Upper Hunter to address the Cumulative Impacts of the Industry and the Growth of the Region".

This SSHEG Review covers the period from the first Mining Dialogue (MD) Workshops in July 2011 and the three subsequent Workshops in 2012, 2013 and 2014. Overall between 50 to 90 Participants directly contributed throughout this period.

This Tabular Review Summary below examines the degree to which the four years of the Mining Dialogue have addressed SSHEG concerns.(Appendix M1 SSHEG Mitigation Priority Actions List)

Focus is on Mining Pollution Mitigation Priority Action

	SSHEG PRIORITIES V/	S MINING DIALOGUE PRIORITIES
	SSHEG 2013 Review	Mining Dialogue 2011 Workshop
N O	Top 10 Priority List	Emission & Health
1	"Independent Health Study"	2011 Health Risk Assessment@ location & during
	Nov 2009 SSHEG Submission - NSW Gov.	Exploration Assessments (Held 2011)
		2010 NSW Planning Compliance Officers & Experts
		2013 NSW Environmental Health Reports released
	2011 Nelson# Speciation & Morphology	2013 Mine Health Impact Consultancy (Gloucester)
	Oct 2013 WHO Carcinogen Classifications	2013 Particle Characterisation Study PM2.5
	Air Pollution, Particulates, Diesel Exhausts	Air Quality Speciation (Held 2012)
2	2009 "Elimination of Mine Blasting	2011 NSW Health Expert Panel confirmed Toxicity
	Plumes into atmosphere"	(21 SE Qld Miners Hospitalised Kms away) 2012 NSW Planning Best Practice (Colour) Blasting
	Dust, Fumes, Drifting Toxic Hot Gas Bubbles returning to Ground	2012 NSW FPA Blast Fume Licence additions
3	2012 "Near Neighbours as Mine	2011 UHAQMN & DUST STOP Programs & Audits
3	Occupationally Exposed"	2013 MD Weather Forecasting
	Underground Mine Air Quality Standards	2015 NSW EPA Bad Days Analysis KPI's
4	2014 "Diesel Exhaust Pathways"	2014 Mining Dialog Train Wagon Pollution Review
	Isodose Zones around Mines	2014 NSW EPA Newcastle Train Wagon Dust Study
5	2010 Biological Asthma Susceptibility &	
	"Allergen Calendar"	
6	2009 "Coal Fired Power Stations	2012 NO2 & SO2 Monitoring added to UHAQMN
	Stack Plume Gases targeting	2014 Rainwater Lead in Tanks MD Study
	Toxic Fly Ash"	
7	2009 "Mining Noise Health	2014 "CAR" Epidemiology Noise Disease Identified
	Implications"	relates to Sleep Disturbances
	Residents Night Sleep Disturbance	
8	2009 Air Quality Human Disease Risk	1998 NEPM PM10 Standards @> 25,000 Population
	Guidance(Averaging Issue)	2015 Draft NEPM PM10 & PM2.5
9	2009 Hunter River Irrigation Water	1995 Salinity Trading Scheme
		2012 MD Water Accounting Framework Studies
1	2009 Surface Land Rights against Mining	2011 MD Synoptic Land Use Plan
0		2015 NSW Planning Coexistance Debate
	NOW EDA & DDE Actions, DED N	Refer Appendix 1 SSHEG Priority List 2014

Green NSW EPA & DPE Actions: RED Mining Dialogue Action: BLUE NSW Health:

This Review examines the degree to which the four years of the Mining Dialogue have addressed SSHEG concerns, and specifically aims to identify where a renewed focus is warranted given the developments and findings of the four individual MD Joint Working Groups; Synoptic Plan, Water, Health and Social Impact.

Each of the MD Joint Working Group's "Ideas for Action" are detailed in Appendix M2 entitled "Stakeholder Workshop Report, Upper Hunter Mining Dialogue, 2011 Report"

Idea for action Mining Dialogue Workshop July 2011	Vot	es	
Synoptic Plan: Urgent development of a new synoptic plan: strong communi input - include land class - ensure plan is a living document. Water study for the Hunter Valley to understand aquifers and surface water.	n W	4 ⁹ 147	·]
Independent and transparent water assessment of mining projects (funding and training for more water experts who can work independently of mining companies?).	A T	26	
2005 Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources Stream/Aquifer Guideline ¹ – Implement the aquifer standards outlined in the Guideline (150m from edge of alluvium).	E R	25 109	9
Health Risk Assessments to go into Director General requirements, looking particularly at long term health issues as well as short term, intense exposure. Assessment to be done at exploration stage.	н	24 112	2
Strategic Land Use Plan linked to CMA management plan and local government area and used to guide ability to explore.		24	
 Hunter Communities Network four suggestions Cover all coal trains through to the Port of Newcastle Use improved and uniform blasting product to eliminate toxic orange brown emissions Support a comprehensive regional study on the impacts of current operations on surface and groundwater systems in the Hunter Rehabilitate mine sites at the same rate of disturbance by mining. 	H N S	22	
Figure MD 1	l Imp	act 62	

The MD 2011 Workshop identified and prioritised the competing Issues from the broad range of participants; establishing Four Joint Working Catagories as illustrated; namely

- (1) **Water** 19 participant Groups
- (2) **Synoptic Plan** 25 Lane
- 25 Land Management
- (3) Social Impacts
- 9 Housing Research
- (4) Emissions & Health 18 Air Quality, Dust, Noise

Stakeholders Top 10 Priorities in 2010

The NSW Minerals Council also in 2010 sought guidance from ACCSR: Australian Centre for Corporate Social Responsibility to gauge the Hunter Valley Stakeholders Priorities based on methodologies that address Sustainability Risk Management.

The ACCSR Mission Statement for Corporate Social Responsibility reads like this:-

"Social Responsibility is the responsibility of an Organisation for the Impacts of its Decisions and Activities on Social and the Environment, through Transparent and Ethical Behaviour That;

- Contributes to sustainable development, including the Health and the Welfare of Society
- Takes into account the expectations of stakeholders
- Is in compliance with applicable law and consistent with international norms of behaviour, and
- Is integrated throughout the organization and practised in its relationships."

#"The Australian Centre for Corporate Social Responsibility (ACCSR) was commissioned to undertake a community survey so that the depth and nature of concerns could be better understood. The survey was conducted by ACCSR between October and November 2010. A total of 93 organisations and individual opinion leaders participated. The results are important for the industry, providing us with a clear indication that there is a high degree of concern that we need to thoroughly understand and respond to".

#(refer ACCSR Upper Hunter Mining Dialogue Report on the Stakeholder Survey for the NSW Minerals Council April 2011)

www.nswmining.com.au/dialogue/home



Mining Dialogue Web Site reference

ACCSR Stakeholders Top 10 Priorities



The above Top 10 Stakeholder Priorities Figure 1 (with SSHEG annotations) come from six High Level Categories of Topics, and when these outcomes are directly compared to MD Workshop 2011 **Figure MD1**outcomes; they both reinforce how the "*Perceived Community Disease association with Mining*" and the SSHEG mission "*Focus on Health*" warrants better understanding and a higher priority MD action directed to mandate "Health Risk Assessments"; renewing the SSHEG and Dr Au's 2008 focus calling for an "Independent Health Study".

The ACCSR Report in many ways is more representative of the "Community disquiet regarding Open Cut Mining in the Hunter Valley" than the **MD** Workshop 2011 "Ideas for Action" approach due to the broader scope of the interview and scoring process that "Reflects the fact that people often talked about issues in a number of ways, and often returned to an issue multiple times over the course of the interview".

The Prime Health significance detailed in **ACCSR Figure 1** above, are compared to the **MD** Workshop 2011 **Figure MD1** "Ideas for Action", and further detailed in **ACCSR** Figure 8 "*Environment, Health and Safety*", and Figure 9 *Economy, employment and income topics*", (**Appendix M2**) mostly dominating the response densities.

Each Figure (8 -11) identifies 74 Topics mentioned against the nine interest based Stakeholder Groupings. "The larger the bubble, the more times the issue has been mentioned by a group indicating that this is a priority issue for this particular group. This reflects the fact that people often talked about issues in a number of ways, and often returned to an issue multiple times over the course of the interview".

In particular the Community Health surveys reported by SSHEG in 2008-9 are in line with outcomes shown in Figure 8 with the concentration of bubbles on *"Dust and Air Quality"* and *"Public Health Impacts"*.

Again in the MD Workshop 2011 **Figure MD1** the Highest Health Issue for Action is "*Health Risk Assessments* ..."; and as below "*Air Quality Monitoring to go beyond Best Practice and tying this to Particle Identification and Speciation Analysis of Samples* " may provide the missing understanding to quantify these interrelationships. Subsequent Disease Risk emerged, in October 2013 as WHO carcinogen announcements regarding Air Pollution, Particulate Matter & Diesel Exhausts; and this was not known at the time of Priority setting.

Dust, Air Quality, Noise and Health	Health Risk Assessments to go into Director General requirements, looking particularly at long term health issues as well as short term intense exposure. The assessments to be done at exploration stage.	24	
	Air quality monitoring to go beyond best practice (i.e. speciation analysis of samples, 2.5µm monitoring and 1.0µm monitoring).	16	н
	Industry to continuously improve dust suppression.	14	
	All 'Air Quality Network' monitors should measure – 2.5 Micron (PM 2.5).	9	E A
	Particle identification (speciation) along with particle size.	9	L
	Increase number of Department of Planning and Infrastructure/Department of Mineral Resources compliance officers for 24/7 coverage.	8	T H
	Government to make experts available for community forums for information and Q&A.	5	M
	Have experts available (e.g. Chris Eiser from the Office of Environment and Heritage) to meet with the community to discuss and questions on dust monitoring and dust reduction in the second s		D 2 0
	commitments and Dialogue Work (i.e. logging		1
	Industry to Mining ment best practice for fume management (blast fume	5	1

ACCSR Figures 8 & 9

Figure 8 represents the environment, health and safety issues mentioned by survey participants. The nine groups are listed on the left axis and the 13 issues identified are listed on the horizontal axis.



Figure 9 represents the 14 economy, employment and income issues mentioned by participants.

Mining Co Rep	0.8	0.6	05	12		0.7								
CCC Rep/Indigenous	0.8	0.4		1.1	02		0.8		03					
Senior Govt Rep	0.9	0.9	0.6	1.1		03								
Local Council	05	0.8	0.8	0.8		0.5	03	03				03		
Industry/Business	1.3	1.0	03	1.0	03	03								
Enviro/Resident Action	03	0.4	02	0.4										
Community/Educ/Media	1.6	0.6	62	12	02		04	02	0.2			02		0.4
Individual Opinion Leader	0.8	0.5	0.8	1.1			03							
Agribusiness	1.0		1.0	03		03		0.7						0.7
	Population and economic growth	Pace and scale of mine expansion and impacts on workforce and local business	Higher wages/increasing affluence	Employment opportunities and development	Need for increased local employment opportunities	Mining royatties/profits	Distribution of mining royalties/profits	Need to plan/diversify economy for post-mining future	Impact on employment/economy if coal production is halted	Be lief that people are benefiting from mining while complaining about impacts/whinging	Consolidation of mines into large operations	Concerns that mining economic benefits have lagged	Importance of maintaining coal production as an energy source	Labour shortages

Figure 9: Economy, employment and income topics

UPPER HUNTER MINING DIALOGUE REPORT on the Stakeholder Survey for the NSW Minerals Council

SSHEG Health Documents 2008 -2015

A Prologue

The Legacy of Community Diseases perceived by Residents and Dr AU to be associated with the quickening pace of Open Cut Coal Mining in the Hunter Valley led to the formation of the "Singleton Shire Healthy Environment Group" at a Public Meeting in 2008; then by Community Health Survey 2008-2009, and "SSHEG submission (H1) to NSW Government 11 Nov 2009 ".

SSHEG Concerns are generally contained in the 202 Page document prepared for NSW Chief Health Officer and the Expert Advisory Committee (EAC) appointed by NSW Government in March 2010 entitled "SSHEG Document (H2) 2010 Independent Health Study for Singleton Shire including 27 Attachments Illustrating the individual Community Concerns".

Three Community Environmental Historians (Attm 7, H 2), along with Shire Councils contributed in Community Consultative Committees on Power Stations and Coal Mining developments over 20 years. However by 2000 NSW Planning Authorities stopped heeding the Rural Community concerns, with the removal of Buffer Zones for Resident's Protection" and thus began the removal by "Near Neighbours of the Social Licence for mines to Operate".

By Feb 2013 SSHEG member's viewpoints are summarised in submission (H3) No 28 Senate Enquiry on "*Impacts on Health of Air Quality in Australia*" and S1-S22 attachments; and Supplementary Submission (H4) Diesel & Composite Particulates and S26–S29.

Also Reference should be made to SSHEG supporting documents which more fully detail the various aspects on the five year Health Study investigations on behalf of the Singleton Shire concerned Residents, They are.-

SSHEG Submission (H5, H5a) "Air Quality Australian Standards Oct 2014" and Attachments A1-A6, with 2012 PM10 exceedances, No 82 NEPM Standards.

SSHEG submission,(H6) "National Clean Air Agreement April 2015".

This SSHEG May 2015 Review of four years of Mining Dialogue collaboration(H21) provides a better focused understanding of the competing Health Priorities across "Hunter Valley Stakeholders; and the lack of "Near Neighbour Health Study Research" options.

B Community Concerns Detailed COMMUNITY CONCERNS Detailed

In December 2010 a series of Questions relating to Mining and Power Station Air Pollution were posed to Health and EPA representatives at a Public Forum Presentation for the Singleton Community entitled "*What do we expect of the Expert Advisory Committee* "(**H7** as **Appendix M8** of this May 2015 SSHEG Review).

The Legacy of Community Diseases (Attm 6, H2) perceived by Residents and Dr AU appear from "Valley Brown Haze, Dust Storms and Rain Squalls (H8), Blast Plumes returning to ground (H2 p 9-12)-Gassings" (H2 p9-12, H9), Polluted Rainwater Tanks Drinking and Cooking Water (OGM identified), Asthma particularly in Children (Dr Au Tests (H3 p 9, H8 a), Camberwell Cough (H2 p 43), Noise and Ground Vibration Disturbance (H2 p 14), all daily Health concerns reported particularly by Camberwell Residents (H2 attm 3).

The SSHEG Review (**H10a**) in March 2014 resulted in SSHEG Document (**H10**) March 2014 *"Mining Pollution Mitigation Priority Action"* focusing on "Near Neighbours" being impacted by Mining.

SSHEG submission (H11) to NSW Planning April 2015 calls for *"Elimination of Mine Blasting into the Air - Residents as Occupationally Exposed"* and Attm 1-4. (Note ##)

MTW Mine Blast "Gassing" on 20th September 2013 in Broke Bulga Micro Valley (H12, H12a) establishes that Blast Colour Best Practice Management Mine Plans does not Protect "Near Neighbours".

Hunter Valley Brown Smog entrapment (**H2 p 23-38**) Air Drifting Pattern observations are outlined in the 2010 Terrain 1 "Bulga Mountain Range to Broke Smog concentration Pocket (**H2 p 28**).

The SSHEG Submission (**H13**) *"Bulga Mine Optimisation 2014"* further details the Broke-Bulga Micro Valley Air Drifting Patterns, and the Pollution Monitoring warranted to safeguard for children at Broke School.

Following the four years of Community Health and Mining investigations in Hunter Valley the Rocky Hill Mine Gloucester SSHEG Submission(H14) provides a clear insight of the Social delemia posed in a Micro Valley with Mining , CSG and Residential Estates and Homes all apparently competing for the same Land. In reality "Near Neighbours", inch by inch are affected until only "Mine Voids" remain.

C Independent Health Study Status 2015 C independent Health Study Status 2015

The Community's submission Dec 2001 (Attm 8, H2) regarding the revamped "Ravensworth Waste Dump" proposed by Thiess called for "balanced scientific evaluation and Risk assessment regards Community Health concerns as reflected in Disease Statistics".

SSHEG Submission to NSW Government 11 Nov 2009 (H1)

Calls for an "*Independent Health Study*", with specific Community Health concerns from 2008-2009 surveys subsequently detailed to EAC in Attm 6 (H2).

Four approaches so far have been identified, namely:-

1. EAC conclusions after three years of Investigation, EAC Review and Debate, the weight of Best Medical Opinion is as follows:-

"With regard the feasibility of conducting a study to assess the relationship between Mining and Health; The Chief Health Officer's Expert Advisory Committee (NSW - EAC) which includes Internationally renowned Epidemiologists concluded that, even when the Air Pollutants under investigation are known to cause illness, a Health Study in NSW was unlikely to show a statistically significant Health effect because the impacted Population is too small".

"Instead, given that the Health effects of Mine-associated Air pollution are well understood, a better approach is to improve our knowledge of the Sources and distribution of Air Pollution in Mining Regions^{NOTE 1}. This knowledge will support the development of effective management strategies to protect the Health of the Community". (extracts from *Attachment S14 (H3)*) Note 1 SSHEG (H 3a) " Epidemiology 2013 Air Pollution & Human Health".

- 2. SSHEG expected Health Study Methodology would match the Real Time Exposure of Residents to Environmental Pollution in their Daily lives, using observed Source Hourly concentrated Drifting Patterns, such as outlined by SSHEG (H15) based on Industry OH&S Hazardous Risk Analysis.
- 3. Dr Craig Dalton "A submission (*H16*) to the Senate enquiry (No105) into Air Pollution and Health 2013, "*How to investigate the impact of coal Mining on Community Health*", and based on the "*Contribution of toxicological and epidemiological data to understand cause and effect*" from Adami.4 (*H17*) Hans-Olov Adami, Colin L. Berry, Charles B. Breckenridge, et al. Toxicology and Epidemiology: Improving the Science with a Framework for Combining Toxicological and Epidemiological Evidence to Establish Causal Inference. TOXICOLOGICAL SCIENCES 122(2), 223–234 (2011)
- 4. Centre for Air Quality and Health Research and evaluation (CAR)(H18) Semimar proceedings Monash Centre Melbourne 2nd April 2015 "Toxicology Workshop". Understanding Dose, Exposure, Health Guidelines & Exposure Limits, etc. Also Epidemiology CAR Seminars Sydney & Newcastle Sept 2013 SSHEG (H19).

NSM Government view of Coexistance so lar

Camberwell Village in 2000 with a Church and Community Hall of around 350 Residents and 47 Rural living Homes, by 2010 was reduced to "One Mining Lord- Aston Mine" and two or three "Quarantined Sick Families".

This is Coexistance 2015 NSW style.



In good faith , the 2002 Warkworth (MTW) Mining approval process established an acceptable balance between exising Rural Homes and the extensive Coal Resource of the area. (C1)

The Myth of Coexistance emerged when MTW which operates as an Open Cut Mine on the same Strata Coal Resources as Bulga and Wambo Mines on either side (both Open Cut and Underground), decided to forgo its "Social Licence" and use questionable practices to alter NSW Planning Protocols and challenge the community; including Aboriginal Elders of these lands.

Community Disease provides a Coexistance Metric for a Healthy Mining Industry. Clearly Underground Mining allows Coexistance.

"The impact on Heal with the impact on Heal with the impact on Heal Senate Committee Submission Summary "The Impact on Heal Singleton Shire Healthy Env Singleton Shire Healthy Env Singleton Shire Healthy Env Singleton's Doctor Au's Hunter Val Authorities, and posed the question Sick?" Especially, Respiratory Illne Subsequently, from Commund Health issues in (<i>Attachment S1</i>) tha Villages, Hamlets and Farming Con This Senate Submission gene since 2008 that capture the extent of Shire Community. Eight Topic area Member contribution and the List of submission. Separate to this Senate Secuence of the senate Secuence of the SHEG Community. Health and Coal Fin Major Pollution Emitters, and the SHEG Community He	Ith of Air Quality in Australia" ironment Group (SSHEG) arose in 2008 out of ley Air Quality concerns were unheeded by "What is making our Children and Community sees and Asthma. uity Surveys SSHEG advised NSW Health of 45 t are prominent in Singleton Shire Towns, Rural munities. rally relies upon SSHEG documents prepared the Pollution Issues unearthed by the Singleton s are outlined below together with the SSHEG of Reference Documents which form part of this ubmission, individual SSHEG members are ality Community Health issues. which Survey and Pollution Investigations 2008-9 ed Power Stations and related Industries as the ed to our Submission to NSW Government on where 37 Pollutants were identified) calling for	Government Departments, E in the Hunter Valley (<i>Attach</i> , 1960's was transformed with supplying cheap Electricity fo Tomago); and now the somev "Moonscape" between Single In the process the Coa unbearable "Dust, Fumes, Pi Pollution, Incessant Mine No Vibrations, Glaring lights, Sl Creek Water unfit for croppi encircled Villages and "plund What then has SSHE(C all Non Agricultur Health changes to assessments, from Euvironment Pollt (2) SSHEG calling in Accumulative Air: with a view to Miti (3) OH&S Act Protee Exposed" incorpot (4) Power Stations to Solid and Liquid T (5) Realtime Gaseous Pollution Reductio to Armosphere, eg (6) Establish Particula Reduction Control PMI0 and PM2.5, and PM0.1 for Me (7) 6 Monthly Routine for Drinking and F fallout on Rural H Industry Buffer Z (8) Establish Locality Pollution Events e Average PM Pollu (9) Fifteen Interim Be	ment (33); where the Prim Coal Fired Power Station or two Aluminium Smeller what out of control Open- eton and Muswellbrook as a Mining juggernaut rolls umes, Fity Ash, Combusti ise and Trains rattling th eep disturbances, Psychol ing, squeezing out Near N lered Communities and F G identified what is Government Accumulative al Industrial Developmen "Near Neighbours" and I one hour (IHr running A triton Emission Exposures a 2009 for Independent H Quality Pollutants Impac (gation or Cessation at the tion of "Near Neighbours" rated in Mining and Powy cease acting as "High ten Toxic Waste substances. and Particulate 24hr Moto pecially Combustion relat to be based upon One H with PM4 for Near Neigh dical Research Health As F Testing and Accreditatik Residents Cooking, due to omes that fall within the ' ones; and undertaken at In y based Medical Record It sposures in preceding day tion criteria.	es the Coal Industries Impact he Agricultural area of the ns near Muswellbrook ers (Kurri Kurri and Cut Coal Mining 5 just the beginning. 5 on unchecked, creating on Gases, Diesel Exhaust rough beside Houses, Ground logical Pressure, Irrigation feighbours and Villages, Prime Agricultural Land". 5 <u>Needed ?</u> e Health Risk Assessments on its based on the heightened Resident's in weekly verage) Accumulative 5. e alth Study to identify t on Hunter Valley Residents, e Pollution Emission Sources. 5," as "Occupationally er Station Industries. Imperature Incinerators" for nitoring, Staged Alarming and al Processe Pollution Emissions ted Processe. on of Rainwater Tanks used o the heary overnight Aerosol "Designated Near Neighbours adustry cost. "Profiles noting Community ys based on 1Hr Rolling outlined in letter to Chief
Facebook: Ssheg View Em	all-sibeg@hotmail.com 5/3/2013 Page 1	Facebook: Ssheg View	Email:ssheg@hotmailcom	5/3/2013 Page 5
What the	e Community Demands			
(I)	EPA continuous 24 Hour me and Water outflows emission Hunter Valley.		<i>~</i>	
(2)	EPA controlled 24 hour mor Townships and designated H contamination of all gaseous a specific focus on short, me concerns. (Refer attached Ex	fomes for Airborn and particulate m edium, and long ter	e outflows atter, with	
(5)	EPA Website for direct acce Monitoring of Water and Air Hunter Valley.			
(6)	Hunter Valley Community d evaluation and Risk assessm Health concerns as reflected	ent with regards C	Community	
(7)	The fact that both Water Qua Air Quality of the Hunter Va for scrutiny by the Communi concerns that little or no Con controls are in place, or are e	lley are not freely ity is indicative of atamination/Polluti	available the ion	
(8)	State Infrastructure Develop Government controlled Envir with the various persuasions identification of the areas of the areas of disagreements qu	ronmental Impact represented leadin consensus agreem	Studies ag to	

Singleton Community Submission 2001 (**H2 p101**) Thiess Ravensworth Sydney Super Dump Extract

APPENDIX M1

SSHEG Mitigation Priority Action List

Sin	gleton Shire Healthy Environment Oroc	p Air Pollution Health Study Re-	view April 2014
s	SHEC AIR POLLUTIO	ON HEALTH STUDY R	EVIEW 2014
	Focus on Community I	Health & Air Pollution Miti	igation Issues
(1)		Blasting into the Atmos nming Plugs to stop "Co	
(2)	"Occupationally Exp with EPA Compliance	l Farming Families" to oosed Persons to Open Cut o Orders, as Pseudo Underground characteristics, og 500 ug/m ³ for 4	Mining Operations" Mine Ventilation Standards.
(3)	Diesel Exhaust Pathwa Exposure Criteria	ays and Isodose Zones based on Underground Mines at -	= 0.1 mg/m3 or 100ug/m3
(4)	Biological Asthma Sus	ceptibility & Allergen C Real Time Methodology & Val	
(5)	Real Time 24	Stack Plumes Gases with hr Stack Gases, fumes and Fly Ar te Oil firing, with Fuel rate & Mr	sh concentrations.
(6)		h Implications y Low Frequency & Ground Vibr leprivation from "clanging" as "d	
(7)	Asthma / Mining O Toxicity J PM releas	ise ase Risk Guidelines(/ Allergen Guide Number Spores / perations Diesel & Fugitive Emis lisk of Rainwater Tanks from Air e from Haul Roads & Mine Water & Assessments of Development p	'm ³ sions Zones xx ug/m ³ Pollution Fallout r Vapourisation Fans
(8)		on Water Pollution ater Quality Monitoring of Creek ter Toxicity, soil retention & Fan	
(9)	Reinstate But	me is my Castle" Rights ffer Zones between Industry and I I test case & Injunctions preceder	Resident
(10)	Singleton Shire "Near GP, Medicin	Neighbours" Preventiv s, Work sickies, travel costs relat tion initiated Disease Syndrome h	e Medical Costs ted to Air Pollution events.
Dr	Neville Hodkinson PhD 8:	SHEO Priority List 16/4/2014	ATTACHMENT 2

APPENDIX M2 extracts with SSHEG annotations

Mining Dialogue 2011 Workshop Keport

STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP REPORT UPPER HUNTER MINING DIALOGUE

2011 REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Workshop Goals and Objectives	2
2	What happened on the day	2
3	Ideas for action	4
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Actions for Progress Water-related Actions Dust, Air Quality, Noise and Health Actions Land Use Actions Rehabilitation and Land Management Social Impact-related Actions	9 9 . 10
5	Next steps	10
APP	ENDIX: List of Organisations	.11

Idea for action	Mining Dialogue Workshop July 2011		Votes	
input - include land		ynoptic F		147
Independent and t	e Hunter Valley to understand aquifers and surface water. ransparent water assessment of mining projects (funding and tr perts who can work independently of mining companies?).	aining	w 28 A 26 T	
	of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources Stream/Aquif ment the aquifer standards outlined in the Guideline (150m from		е 25 R	109
	sments to go into Director General requirements, looking partic sues as well as short term, intense exposure. Assessment to be	•		112
Strategic Land Use used to guide abili	e Plan linked to CMA management plan and local government a ty to explore.	area and	24	
 Cover all co Use improve emissions Support a co surface and 	es Network four suggestions al trains through to the Port of Newcastle ed and uniform blasting product to eliminate toxic orange brown omprehensive regional study on the impacts of current operation groundwater systems in the Hunter mine sites at the same rate of disturbance by mining.		H 22 W S	
The following table	records all 'ideas for action' created by stakeholders on the day:	Socia	al Impac	t 62

The following table records all 'ideas for action' created by stakeholders on the day:

Theme	Idea for action	Votes
Water	Water study of the Hunter Valley to understand aquifers and surface water.	28
	Independent and transparent water assessment of mining projects (funding and training for more water experts who can work independently of mining companies?).	26
	2005 Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources Stream/Aquifer Guideline – Implement the aquifer standards outlined in the Guideline (150m from edge of alluvium).	25
	Ensure voids are back-filled to avoid saline and heavy metal water build up.	7
	Cumulative impacts study of existing mining operations (on water).	6
	Stop the diversion of rivers.	5
	2005 Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources Stream/Aquifer Guideline to be adopted as minimum standard.	2
	Audit existing operations to ensure they are compliant and have capacity to store water onsite in flood conditions.	0
	Better opportunities/ assistance for the community to review environmental assessments.	0
	Industry to participate in and support the National Water Initiative	0

Rehabilitation	Synoptic plan (combined ideas):	49	Г
and Land Management	 Urgent development of a new synoptic plan: strong community and industry input Include land classes Ensure plan is a living document. 	(28)	l
	 Stakeholders and industry to advocate to government to revise the synoptic plan for the Hunter Valley. Synoptic Plan – should link to site closure plans and rehabilitation completion criteria reviewed regularly. 	(6)	l
	Information, data and tours of rehabilitation should be accessible to the public:	14	L
	 Offsets: should be an industry-wide approach – coordinated credits/ landbank system to give management flexibility and strategic outcomes. Should include conservation organisations in management 		l
	 Industry-wide coordination to work towards rate of rehabilitation equalling rate of disturbance on industry basis. 		L
	 Rehabilitation with community: 1. Mine life planning with community involvement and agreement 2. Final void – community participation and partnership 3. Establish a jointly funded research centre for Hunter Valley – with public access to industry and government database of 	7	
Land Use	Industry (with local community) to meet and advocate to Government for mining free zones.	18	ſ
	Stakeholders and industry to advocate to Government to have one plan for how land is used in a region.	16	l
	Stakeholders on the Ministerial Reference Group for the Strategic Regional Land Use Plans advocate to Government for a full, broad consultation with the community on the draft plans.	3	
	The minerals industry to advocate for consistency between strategic regional land use plans and local environment plans.	0	
	Strategic Land Use Plan should be linked to Catchment Management Authority Management Plan and local government area, and used to guide ability to explore.	24	
Social Impacts	Regionally significant infrastructure must be fast tracked by Government. There needs to be more advocacy by NSWMC, local government and Regional Development Australia – Hunter to get the funding for priority Hunter projects including Muswellbrook bypass, Scone overpass, Singleton bypass.	21	L
	Local employment must be the focus for contractors and mining companies. There needs to be a focus on training local people for jobs and apprenticeships for local people.	18	
	Aboriginal and European heritage must be better considered (e.g. heritage homes must be preserved).	12	

Dust, Air Quality, Noise and Health	Health Risk Assessments to go into Director General requirements, looking particularly at long term health issues as well as short term intense exposure. The assessments to be done at exploration stage.	24	
	Air quality monitoring to go beyond best practice (i.e. speciation analysis of samples, 2.5µm monitoring and 1.0µm monitoring).	16	
	Industry to continuously improve dust suppression.	14	
	All 'Air Quality Network' monitors should measure – 2.5 Micron (PM 2.5).	9	H E
	Particle identification (speciation) along with particle size.	9	
	Increase number of Department of Planning and Infrastructure/Department of Mineral Resources compliance officers for 24/7 coverage.	8	A L T
	Government to make experts available for community forums for information and Q&A.	5	Η
	Have experts available (e.g. Chris Eiser from the Office of Environment and Heritage) to meet with the community to discuss and answer questions on dust monitoring and dust reduction programs. Mining industry to take on 'best practice' response process to managing community complaints and concerns (i.e. logging commitments and promises).	5	
	Industry to develop and implement best practice for fume management (blast fume).	5	

Hunter Communities Network	 Cover all coal trains through to the Port of Newcastle Use improved and uniform blasting product to eliminate toxic orange brown emissions 	22	н
At the end of the session, Hunter	 Support a comprehensive regional study on the impacts of current operations on surface and groundwater systems in the Hunter 		W
Communities Network proposed four ideas that spanned a number of topic issues. Participants were able to use one	 Rehabilitate mine sites at the same rate of disturbance by mining. 		S
vote to vote for all four ideas.			

APPENDIX M3

Mining Dialogue 2011 Stakeholder Survey

NSW MINERALS COUNCIL

UPPER HUNTER MINING DIALOGUE RESPONSE TO THE ACCSR REPORT ON THE STAKEHOLDER SURVEY

WHAT IS THE MINING INDUSTRY DOING IN RESPONSE TO THE REPORT?

We have learned that one of the most important things the industry needs to do is work better together toward minimising impacts, using our resources more effectively and making it easier for the community to work with us. As a first step, we have set up an Upper Hunter Mining Dialogue Steering Committee with senior members from all of the region's coal producers to oversee and drive our efforts to work more effectively together as an industry. The steering group will oversee three industry working groups which are dedicated to finding ways to address the priority issues identified by the community in the survey:

- Environmental Working Group to look at ways to better address dust, air quality and water issues.
- Rehabilitation and Land Management Working Group to find ways of working more strategically on rehabilitation planning and land management.
- Community Working Group to bring together the mining industry and community groups to share research and resources on issues such as social infrastructure and other social issues.

Members of these groups will be environment and community practitioners with relevant specialist skills. The working groups will be reaching out to stakeholder groups, including agricultural

APPENDIX: List of Organisations

Organisation	Participants	Observers
Anglo American	2	1
Ashton Coal Resources	2	
Association of Mining Related Councils	1	
AusIMM - Hunter Branch	1	
BHP Billiton Mt Arthur Coal	2	
Bloomfield Collieries	1	
Bylong Valley Protection Alliance	2	
CFMEU	1	
Coal & Allied	2	
Conservation Volunteers Australia	1	
Greening Australia	1	
Hunter Environment Lobby	1	
Hunter Region BEC	1	
Hunter Valley Protection Alliance	2	
Hunter Valley Thoroughbred Breeders Association	1	
Hunter Valley Water Users Association	1	
Hunter-Central Rivers Catchment Management Authority (CMA)	1	
Mangoola CCC	1	
Mt Thorley Warkworth CCC	2	
Muscle Creek Landcare	1	
Muswellbrook Chamber of Commerce	1	
Muswellbrook Coal Company	2	
NSW Farmers Association	3	
NSW Health and Hunter New England Population Health	1	
NSW Mine Watch	1	
NSW Minerals Council	4	
Office of Environment and Heritage	2	
Peabody Energy	2	1
Regional Development Australia Hunter	1	
Rivers SOS	1	
Singleton Argus	1	1
Singleton Chamber of Commerce	1	
Singleton Healthy Environment Group	2	
Singleton Shire Council	1	
Upper Hunter Shire Council	2	
Vale Australia	3	
Wambo CCC	1	
Wonnarua Nation Aboriginal Corporation	1	
Wybong Action Group	6	
Xstrata Coal	2	
Individuals	4	

WHAT ISSUES MATTER THE MOST?

Participants were asked questions to understand the issues of most importance to them in relation to coal mining in the Upper Hunter.

INDUSTRY'S SOCIAL LICENCE TO OPERATE

A social license to operate is an overall measure of the community's sentiment towards a project, company or industry. It is an intangible measure of acceptance that can change over time. Figure 2 below ranks the social licence to operate of the mining industry in the Upper Hunter in four categories. It shows which groups of stakeholders provide which level of social licence to operate.

Individual stakeholders have been grouped into broader stakeholder categories. You can see which stakeholders are in each category on the last page of this document.

Most groups continue to provide the industry with a social licence to operate, but it is at a low level.

Figure 2: Social licence to operate

Stakeholders Top 10 Priorities in 2011



Summarised Mentions	Synoptic Plan	158
	Water	68
(estimated)	Health	199
	Social Impact	284

APPENDIX M4 Health related Issues

Mining Dialogue Meeting SSHEG Notes

7 & 8 May 2015

1: Social Impacts and Infrastructure

ication of issues

Impact of shift work on quality of life and mental health. Impacts of shift work on individuals and families (physical and mental). Community e.g. volunteers/sports/social fabric. Waste management.

 Voluntary Planning Agreements (VPAs) misaligned with social impact assessment and opportunities (SIAOs).

Ideas for consideration by working groups and/or industry

Recommended idea	Priority	Why is the priority set this way?	Dialogue action or advocacy	Who should be involved?
Share information gathered regarding shift work and its impacts	Low	Has already been undertaken	Action	NSWMC / Social Impacts and Infrastructure Working Group
NSWMC VPA Working Group to consider if misalignment of VPA money and SIAO's is an issue to be considered in VPA reform	Low	State-wide issue, not an Upper Hunter issue	Action	NSWMC
Invite waste divisions at councils to speak with Environmental Managers at mine sites to identify and resolve any issues	Low	Perception issue	Action	NSWMC



- Do the workforce and Families know the signs and what support services does Industry provide?

Community issue - Impact of Night Mining Noise disturbing sleep plus next day hazard

Green - Community Comments are Highest Priority Health Related Issues

2: Industry Government Partnership

Recommended idea	Priority	Why is the priority set this way?	Dialogue action or advocacy	Who should be involved?
Collective advocacy on agreed priority government initiatives and programs (committed)	High	Certainty for investment, community, infrastructure development	Advocacy (½ yearly meeting with Minister for Hunter)	- Industry, Council, Community Business leaders - Minister for the Hunter
Government endorsement of their own decisions to grant Exploration Licences	Medium	Could be part of the 1st action and maybe something that can be achieved through goal allocation	Advocacy	- Industry, Council, Community Business leaders - Minister for the Resources
Proper royalties for regions (long term) program (a more equitable share)	High	Because Resources for Regions not equitable	Advocacy	- Industry and Council - Deputy Premier DTIRIS

Ideas for consideration by working groups and/or industry

B. Certainty for Investment - High Priority

- Too many competing interests
- as many as 6 Interest Groups to be represented
- **Community issue Buffer Zones between Farmers & Mines**
 - Air Quality incl "Pollen Calander"
 - Night Mine Noise disturbing sleep
 - Aboriginal linkage to country
 - Animal Health Equine Industry
 - Flora & Fauna Tainted Olive Industry

3: Diversification – During and Post Mining

Recommended idea	Priority	Why is the priority set this way?	Dialogue action or advocacy	Who should be involved?
Recognise the resources Natural Human and skills sets Infrastructure (Asset register) 	High	Smarter use of resources to retain broad skill sets in this area.	Advocacy for regulations to allow for changes in final mine plan	Bureau of statistics Councils
Think tank for ideas. Invitees: Community Viticulture	High	Bring new skill sets	Dialogue action and Advocate for Regional	Business chambers
Agriculture Equine Tourism		Insurance against fluctuations	Economic Diversity plan	Dr Ernesto Sirollii
 Mining University Hunter Research 		Better end result	Write a project plan for funding by Resources for	UHMD
Foundation • CSIRO		Co-existence is critical	Regions (for think tank)	
 Commonwealth Industry Growth Centres Programme (Mining Equipment, Technology and Services) 				
 Newcastle Institute for Energy and Resources State forest Councils 				

C. Recognise the Resources - High Priority

- Too many competing interests
- as many as 14 Interest Groups to be represented
- Community issue
- Aboriginal lineage to country
 - Generations of Family investments under threat from Governments, Mining & CSG
 - Natural Landscape destruction & Amenity

4: Community Engagement strategy for Dialogue as a whole

- -

Recommended idea	Priority	Why is the priority set this way?	Dialogue action or advocacy	Who should be involved?
Interactive mining exhibit "tourism"	Low - Medium	To provide positive mining information to the community	Action	Muswellbrook / Singleton Councils Mine Representatives NSWMC Representatives
Stakeholder engagement strategy	Medium - High	To engage the community effectively UHMD	Action	UHMD
Road show, Hunter Coal Show	Low - Medium	To better inform the community regarding UHMD achievements and better understanding of what they want	Action	Representatives from UHMD
Mine tours to demonstrate UHMD topics i.e. • Rehabilitation • Water management • Noise management • Dust management	Medium - High	To better engage regarding UHMD issues	Action	UHMD representatives
UHMD to continue engaging with stakeholders during downturn	High	Issues continue and economic situation will change	Advocacy	UHMD representatives

D. Mine Tours to demonstrate - Medium - High Priority

- School Project excursions
- Showcase on local TV (Quarterly)
- Focus on Workplace Health & Safety

Community issue

- Air Quality, Noise, Rehabilitation, Water
- Correct Perceptions and Misconceptions

5: Emissions and health

Recommended idea	Priority	Why is the priority set this way?	Dialogue action or advocacy	Who should be involved?
Dust off (pun intended) 2011 study on depositional dust/drinking water (publish on the UHMD website)		Address concern and lapse of knowledge	Action	NSWMC
Public Access to Upper Hunter Air Quality forecast	High	Existing priority project	Advocacy	NSWMC
Health risk assessments		Community desire to see health risk info	Action / Advocacy	Emissions and Health JWG
Characterisation study. What next? Holistic approach to air pollutant management		Increase knowledge base among community	Action / Advocacy	Emissions and Health JWG
Lobby power generation industry for UHMD Involvement		Significant emission source	Advocacy	NSWMC

Ideas for consideration by working groups and/or industry

I . Study on Deposition Dust/
Drinking Water - SET Priority
- Technical Study of Deposition Dust
- Normal & Drought Comparison
- Test NSW Health advisory Treatment
Community issue - Contaminated Rural Drinking & Cooking
- Discolouration of Glass
- Slime in base of Rainwater Tanks
IF. Lobby Power Stations - SET HIGH Priority
- Technical Study of Stack Emissions
- SOx & NOx Stack Exit Concentrations
- Fly Ash Stack Emissions
Community issue - Stacks Exit Plume Toxicity
- Asthma association with P/Stn Plumes
- Stack Plumes Drifting Patterns

6: Land management

Recommended idea	Priority	Why is the priority set this way?	Dialogue action or advocacy	Who should be involved?
Collation of existing baseline approvals – Geographic Information Systems (GIS)	High	Hasn't been done. Needed for future approvals and rehabilitation outcomes	Advocacy	Assist Division of Resources and Energy (DRE)
Gain agreement on presentation of spatial data	High	Hasn't been done. Needed for future approvals and rehabilitation outcomes	Advocacy	Role for UHMD
Prospective areas for future mining - offsets		Hasn't been done. Needed for future approvals and rehabilitation outcomes	Both	Mining companies, DRE
Rehabilitation policies guidelines models	Medium	Can use info from grazing trial etc.	Dialogue can be sounding board	DRE, Departmen of Primary Industries (DPI) and Dialogue
Feed into vision for what region looks like			Both	Broader community, Department of Planning and Environment (DPE), Dialogue, broader industry

Ideas for consideration by working groups and/or industry

G. Synoptic Plan	- SET HIGH Priority
	- Resource Confidentiality issues
	- 30 year Plan Start trigger
	- Final Landscape & Land use Plan
Community issue	- Surface Area Mining Exposed along
	Valley Air Drifting Pattern accentuates
	Cumulative Airborne Dust and Fumes.
	- Backlog - Rehabilitation & Aerial Seeding
	- Dust Storms & Rain Squalls

APPENDIX M5 & M6

SSHEG NEPM Standards Submission

SSHEG National Clean Air Agreement

Singleton **S**hire **H**ealthy **E**nvironment **G**roup "Air Quality Australian Standards Oct 2014"



A community-based group looking to address Environmental issues affecting Singleton Shire residents P.O. Box 626 Singleton NSW 2330 <u>ssheg@hotmail.com</u> Author: Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD

We seek identification as to what is making our Children and Community Sick so they can be mitigated by OH&S Compliance Orders.

SSHEG Focus on Health

SSHEG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations

EXTRACTS ONLY

Without Prejudice

Singleton **S**hire **H**ealthy **E**nvironment **G**roup "Air Quality Australian Standards Apr 2015



A community-based group looking to address Environmental issues affecting Singleton Shire residents P.O. Box 626 Singleton NSW 2330

ssheg@hotmail.com Author: Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD

We seek identification as to what is making our Children and Community Sick so they can be mitigated by OH&S Compliance Orders.

SSHEG Focus on Health

SSHEG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations

National Clean Air Agreement C/o Department of the Environment GPO Box 787 Canberra ACT 2601 Email: <u>Airquality@environment.gov.au</u>

SSHEG Submission - National Clean Air Agreement

In response to the March 2015 Discussion Paper entitled "Working towards a National Clean Air Agreement" the Hunter Valley Singleton Community and local Medical General Practitioners support a Federal Government Bipartisan approach that recognises and Protects the Health of our Rural Residents from Airborne Pollutants, now confirmed since October 2013 that Short and Long Term Human Disease associations are along the lines that SSHEG had reported to NSW Health in 2008-9; they are indeed the result of day to day Hunter Valley Pollution Exposure.

Fundamentally, SSHEG experience with existing National Environmental Pollution Measures (NEPM) including the long awaited March 2014 PM2.5 additions, primarily continues the adage *"That we only Protect 90% of the People 90% of the Time"*. Rather than encouraging Industries to minimise their Pollution Emissions, NEPM Standards provide a limit to which Industry targets its Pollution Limits to avoid EPA Legal action, and hence limits the Capital Expenditure they spend on Pollution Abatement Systems.

Clearly, from 2014 onwards a "New International Paradigm for Air Quality" is emerging out of the 30 years Epidemiological Medical Research leading to the October 2013 WHO confirmation that "There is No threshold from Exposure of Air Pollution, Particulate Matter, and Diesel Exhausts with regards Human Disease"; all during Short and Long Term Human Expose. Thus, it is the *"Incremental Rise in Toxic Pollutants above Background"* that will determine the Human Disease Risk, not only the Pollution Exceedances above Threshold Limits(the basis of Australian NEPM Standards) previously understood.

Seven ideas are canvased for consideration in this SSHEG Submission

- 1. Three Tiered System for Air Quality: i National Improvement Goals that *keep pace with Pollution/Disease WHO Research Findings*,
 - ii State Capitals Urban Fingerprints based,
 - iii Regional Environs Fingerprints based.
- 2. Impact of International Paradigm for Air Quality (*"Incremental Rise in Toxic Pollutants above Background"*) and Life Shortening.
- 3. National Asthma "Pollen Calendar" of seasonal factors
- 4. NEPM Standard 90% for 90% of time; correct this City/Rural discrimination
- 5. Hunter Valley Regional Environs 2009-2015 Model Example in Rural Region
- 6. Coexistence, Industry/ Residents as *Occupationally Exposed Near Neighbours*" Fact or Fiction
- 7. Holistic approach to Environmental Health Study

Reference should be made to SSHEG supporting documents which more fully detail the various aspects on the five year Health Study investigations on behalf of the Singleton Shire concerned Residents, They are.-

- 1. SSHEG Submission to NSW Government 11 Nov 2009
- 2. SSHEG Submission and attachments No 28 Senate Enquiry on *"Impacts on Health of Air Quality in Australia"* Mar 2013
- 3. SSHEG Submission and Attachments No 82 NEPM Standards Oct 2014 "2014 Review of Ambient Air Quality Impact Statement"

6. Coexistence, Industry/ Residents as *Occupationally Exposed Near Neighbours*" Fact or Fiction

AIR POLLUTION in the BULGA BROKE MICRO VALLEY

Micro Valley Study of Mining coexisting with Residents, Farming, Vineyards and Horse Studs in the Hunter Valley of NSW Australia

"The Cockfighter Brook" flows between the Pokolbin and Wollomi Forest s along the Bulge Mountain into the Hunter River as a picturesque Rural Valley with the Villages of Broke, Fordwich, Milbrodale and Bulga, Vineyards and Horse Studs. (Figure 1)



Note Blue Dots are the Individual Community residences
Clearly, just like Asbestosis Disease affects, if Governments do not speed up the process of setting more appropriate "Hour by Hour" Air Pollution, Particulate Matter, and Diesel Exhaust Standards, then Companies like "James Hardy" will be asked to fit the ongoing Medical bills and financial compensation for Pollution related Diseases and "Life Shortening" as a result. Already SSHEG is recording a "Near Neighbour with Dusting on the Lungs" from being exposed as a Farmer besides Hunter Valley Mines; at a time when Mining Unions brag that none of their mine workers are so affected.

HISTORICAL

Human Health effects in Industralised Topographical Valley locations have been reported as early as 1948 in the Science and Technology Journal;

"In Donora, Pennsylvania, a stable layer of air that persisted from October 26 to October 31, in 1948 affected about 6,000 out of the population of 14,000. Symptoms included coughs, eye irritation, nausea and diarrhea.

Of the 20 persons who died during the period (elderly and those with history of Lung and Cardiac diseases) 17 died on 29th October." Donora experienced Pollutants accumulating for 3 Days leading up to 29th October 1948 when the majority of deaths occurred:-Five Days accumulating Pollutants in the Valley in stagnant air 40 % of the Population were Health affected; as 6000 in14000 After 3 days 17 deaths occur or 1.2 deaths per 1000 800 animals died with 15 % of dogs dying.

Appendix M7 SSHEG Review 2015 Presentation



Mining Pollution Mitigation Priority Action

Following four(4) years of NSW Government Authorities investigations into Air Pollution in the Upper Hunter Valley, it took the World Health Organisation (WHO) announcement in October 2013 to confirm the connection between Air Pollution and Human Cancers. – " A GAME CHANGER"

Document prepared to identify the SSHEG expectations and the Pollution areas in which investigations were expected to have been reported upon.

This SSHEG Review covers the period from the first Mining Dialogue (MD) Workshops in July 2011 and the three subsequent Workshops in 2012, 2013 and 2014. Overall between 50 to 90 Participants contributed throughout this period.

This Tabular Review Summary examines the degree to which the four years of the Mining Dialogue have addressed SSHEG concerns.(Refer Appendix 1 SSHEG as outlined below.

Focus is on Mining Pollution Mitigation Priority Action SSHEG PRIORITIES v/s MINING DIALOGUE PRIORITIES SSHEG 2013 Review Mining Dialogue 2011 Workshop Ν **Top 10 Priority List Emission & Health** 0 1 2011 Health Risk Assessment@ location & during "Independent Health Study" Exploration Assessments (Held 2011) Nov 2009 SSHEG Submission - NSW Gov. **2010 NSW Planning Compliance Officers & Experts** 2013 NSW Environmental Health Reports released 2013 Mine Health Impact Consultancy (Gloucester) Oct 2013 WHO Carcinogen Classifications 2013 Particle Characterisation Study PM2.5 Air Pollution, Particulates, Diesel Exhausts Air Quality Speciation (Held 2012) 2 2009 "Elimination of Mine Blasting 2011 NSW Health Expert Panel confirmed Toxicity (21 SE Qld Miners Hospitalised Kms away) **Plumes into atmosphere**" 2012 NSW Planning Best Practice (Colour) Blasting Dust, Fumes, Drifting Toxic Hot Gas Bubbles returning to Ground 2015 NSW EPA Blast Fume Licence additions 2012 "Near Neighbours as Mine 2012 Upper Hunter Air Quality Monitoring Network 3 2013 **MD** Weather Forecasting **Occupationally Exposed**" Underground Mine Air Quality Standards 2015 NSW EPA Bad Days Analysis KPI's 2014 "Diesel Exhaust Pathways" 2014 MD Train Wagon Pollution Review 4 2014 NSW EPA Newcastle Train Wagon Dust Study Isodose Zones around Mines 2010 Biological Asthma Susceptibility & 5 "Allergen Calendar" **2009 "Coal Fired Power Stations** 2012 NO2 & SO2 Monitoring added to UHAQMN 6 **Stack Plume Gases targeting** 2014 MD Study Lead in Rainwater Tanks **Toxic Fly Ash**" 2009 "Mining Noise Health 2014 "CAR" Epidemiology Noise Disease Identified 7 relates to Sleep Disturbances Implications" **Residents Night Sleep Disturbance** 2012 NSW Planning Studies & INP Drafts 8 2009 Air Quality Human Disease Risk 1998 NEPM PM10 Standards @> 25,000 Population **Guidance**(Averaging Issue) 2015 Draft NEPM PM10 & PM2.5 2009 Hunter River Irrigation Water **1995 Salinity Trading Scheme** 9 2012 MD Water Accounting Framework Studies 2009 Surface Land Rights against Mining 2011 MD Synoptic Land Use Plan 1 0 2015 NSW Planning Coexistance Debate

 Refer Appendix 1
 SSHEG Priority List 2014

 Green
 NSW EPA & DPE Actions:
 RED
 Mining Dialogue Action:
 BLUE
 NSW Health:

SSHEG MD Review Comments as follows:-

 Health Risk Assessments – New Health Research Methods need to be developed for Health Study approaches for small Community Localities. Held 2012 Cumulative Health Impacts – Air Quality Speciation Study Held 2012 Holistic Technical Investigation using Particulate Real Time Monitors (PM10, PM2.5 & PM1) and the collection of Airborne Particulates on special Filter Media. Definitive Air Composition and Speciation Analysis, from which the Health Risk considerations may be evaluated for Short Term (15 Minute Exposures) leading to better targeted Pollution Mitigation Controls to safeguard the Health of the Community.
2. Blast Plumes to cease _ NSW EPA Blast Licence additions regarding Fume _ Best Practice to recognise "No Colour Health Risk"
3. "Near Neighbours" _ MD Weather Forecasting Impacting Dust & Operations _ NSW EPA Bad Days Analysis KPI's _ NSW EPA DUST STOP Program & Audits
 4. "Diesel Exhaust Pathways" – Progress relies upon Air Drifting Patterns (& CCTV Studies) and Speciation Studies _ DPE Audits of MTW Trains
5. Allergen and Air Pollution _ Seasonal Asthma Calendar & Air Drifting
 6. Power Station Stack Plumes Drifting Patterns of Stack Plumes (Thermoview) Fly Ash in Hunter Valley Brown Smog Rainwater Tanks Fly Ash & OGM Impact 7. Mining Noise Health Risk Residents Night Sleep Disturbance @ dB
_ Complaining Residents Mitigation Action _ Mine Night Noise Roving Patrols
 8. Air Quality Averaging Issue _ 24 Hr Avg Met data hides Morning still Air _ 15 min Trigger for Mine Mitigation Action "Data Averaging" distorts the Pollution Emission reporting; while at the same time "equating this" to Resident's Minute to Minute Pollution Exposures and Disease Risks. 24Hr continuous Particulate Matter PM10 Realtime Monitors at around 76 locations are mostly under utalised for reducing Dust and Pollution Emissions, 9. Water 10. Land Rights Quarantining of Near Neighbours and Village Properties leads to "One Mine Owner" with Leased Properties by stealth. Flawed Statistical Assessments for Acquisition Policy Financially Traps Rural Residents.

Health outcomes

Table 2.1 Effects of noise on health and wellbeing with sufficient evidence

Effect	Dimension	Acoustic indicator *	Threshold **	Time domain
Annoyance disturbance	Psychosocial, quality of life	L _{den}	42	Chronic
Self-reported sleep disturbance	Quality of life, somatic health	L _{night}	42	Chronic
Learning, memory	Performance	L _{eq}	50	Acute, chronic
Stress hormones	Stress Indicator	L _{max} L _{eo}	NA	Acute, chronic
Sleep (polysomnographic)	Arousal, motility, sleep quality	L _{max, indoors}	32	Acute chronic
Reported awakening	Sleep	SEL	53	Acute
Reported health	Wellbeing clinical health	L _{den}	50	Chronic
Hypertension	Physiology somatic health	L _{den}	50	Chronic
Ischaemic heart diseases	Clinical health	L _{den}	60	Chronic



European environment agency technical report 11/2010

APPENDIX M8

SSHEG Community Briefing Dec 2010 22HFC COMMUNICA BLIEFING DEC 2010









Map 9

The cross sectional view of the Valley

Air Pollution Visualisation prepared for Expert Advisory Panel May 2010

(Refer SSHEG Document 2010 (H2 p 35) "Independent Health Study")

APPENDIX M9

SSHEG Health Study Issues Dec 2014

SSHEG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations

Singleton Shire Healthy Environment Group – Focus on Health

A community-based group looking to address Environmental issues affecting Singleton Shire residents We seek identification as to what is making our Children and Community Sick so Pollution can be mitigated by OH&S Compliance Orders

In 2008 SSHEG called for an Independent Holistic Health Study in the Hunter Valley.

"The Community perception was that the increased pace of Industrial development in the somewhat enclosed Hunter Valley is accentuating Human Disease and Sickness, particularly of our Children".

SSHEG focused on Pollution Sources from Power Stations and Open Cut Mining Operations with their close proximity to Farming Families and Villages in Rural areas; where ostensively a variety of Diseases were reported by "Near Neighbours": now considered as "Occupationally Exposed Persons".

Dr Au, our Singleton General Practioner, alerted Health Authorities that School children were over represented with Respiratory Deficiency, observing an apparent association between Poor Air Quality and Community Diseases, particularly Asthma.

SSHEG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations

What are the SSHEG 2014 Priorities

- (a) Elimination of Mine Blasting Plumes into the Atmosphere
- (b) "Near Neighbours to Mining "as "Occupationally Exposed Persons".
- (c) Air Quality Particulate Matter as 15 Minute Avg STEL based.
- (d) Holistic Air Quality Toxicity Gases, Vapours, PM's, as STEL.
- (d) Air Quality Australian Standards SSHEG Rural Zones Proposal

SSHEG	PM10	Annual Avg	12ug/m3,	Daily Avg 25ug/m3
Proposal	PM2.5	Annual Avg	6ug/m3,	Daily Avg 15ug/m3
(Rural)	PM10-2.5	Annual Avg	8ug/m3,	Daily Avg 20ug/m3

- (e) Scientific Biological versus Air Pollution Asthma Study
 - Pollens, Spores, Fungi, Vapours, Fragrances etc
 - Bushfires & Backburning, Forests, Grasslands, Biomass Smoke etc
 - Domestic Heaters, WoodSmoke

Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD Email:- ssheg@hotmail.com



Broke School Health Study & MicroValley Cancer Survey

Email:- ssheg@hotmail.com

Page 10

Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD

Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD

	SSHEG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations
What has Epi	demiology Cohort Research identified 2010 - 2014 ?
By 2014	(a) <i>"Air Pollution, Particulate Matter & Diesel Exhausts as Group 1 Carcinogens same as Arsenic, Mustard Gas and Radioactivity".</i>
	(b) <i>"No Threshold Exposure"</i> of Disease Impact of Pollution.
	(c) Disease impact more related to " <i>Pollution Rise above Background</i> " rather than absolute Pollution Exposure value.
	(d) <i>"Life Shortening"</i> Impact of Air Pollution.
	(e) Diesel in Underground Mines Lung Cancer Risk @ >0.05mg/m3.
	(f) Mining Diesel Exhausts (a) $6x10^6$ pa low sulphur <10ppm fuel used.
	(g) Three Particulate Matter Classes, <u>PM10-2.5 Coarse, PM2.5 Fine, PM10</u> .
Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD	Email:- ssheg@hotmail.com Page 3





SSHEG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations

What's in the Air we Breath?

Diesel Exhaust in Miners Study

How: Evaluated the risk of death associated with diesel exhaust exposure, particularly as it may relate to lung cancer.

2,315 workers at eight non-metal mining facilities.

ARTICLE	The Percenters, plant and provide prov
With En	sel Exhaust in Miners Study: A Cohort Mortality Study nphasis on Lung Cancer
Debra T. Skee	Kell, Perros L., Bollard, Jay H. Lubin, Aven Blar, Perros A. Bowari, Next Venezulari, Joseph D. Dolle, Tan.
Manuscript rec	elved Notroary 14, 2011; revised Occeller 12, 2011; accepted Occeller 21, 2011.
	in: Philips 1, Schall, FB, Dhalon of Hersbeitzy Dissues Budies, Kalima invitede for Docasalima Salok and Pearly, 1285 Wites date And Instit (an an Unit@instage)
itsisground	Garrers' information points is an association between direct schwatt expression and king sensor and other retrainly extension, but uncertainties mension.
Bio Fasik	We understate a solver in ministry scalar of SVM solvers searced in direct advance or spatial biosecontral ministry bolism. Newsing in measurements and scanzyme and spatial biological solvers and solver measurements, were suice to other remainstrate dynamics exempts of management exercises approaches and solvers. Standardscalar remains and investing advanced or control works and were used to involver. Standardscalar remainst and solver tables and the solver management measurement of the solvers. Standardscalar remainst and solvers and solver tables and were used to involvers Rest produced remainst indicated biol. Analysis area table and sugged to residue mere sequence were and a removement on the 1 system solver biol. Analysis area fasts and solvers.
Peads	Exemplement involving reaces for any sense (L.B., NY) contension intervent (Q.H L.B. O. L.A.), examplinger server (L.B., OB), D. H L.H. D. 2.B., and preventions loss (L.Q.), MS), G A.B. 20, 21, 21, 24, 24, 24, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25
	surface only initially checked a positive there induces approximate provide a starting with large cancer in a complete other, shrengh it became apprent after adjustment for worker instation. The hazard many should be help cancer instruction interviewed with increasing intry and apprent interviewed instation. The total many should be workers with 50 means users all instruction as a straining in the straining of the large for a straining and the straining interviewed in the straining in the straining of the straining of the straining of the straining of the large for a straining of the straining
	with the reference category (0 to 420 pg/m ² y) 30 deaths compared with tight deaths of the total of 50,100 = 0.07, 00% (0 = 1.07.10 tot. Total of 50,100 = 0.07.10 tot. Total of 50,100 = 0.07.10 tot.
	0.010, the 4 = 0.011 at 12.011 (but exceeds at higher applicance, reveiling). However, finance must be true to a plannae ammal 2.5 cg/s ² . Diversify the based waters and electrons of experimentation encoders that the workers. The descention between devide relativistic depotence and save (based on the instance) of the averagement definition.
Condusions	The study findings previde further evidence that expressive to stated exhaust increases risk of metality from lang context and save important public health implications.

Main findings:

1.increased risk for lung cancer death with increasing levels of exposure to diesel exhaust.

2.Risks among heavily exposed workers were about **three times greater** than risk among workers with low exposure to diesel exhaust.

3.Non-smokers with the high levels of diesel exposure were **seven times more** likely to die from lung cancer than non-smokers in the lowest exposure category.





Camberwell Gassing Incident 2004 Lethridge St. area viewing North

Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD

Email:- ssheg@hotmail.com

			SSHE	G 15 Not Ant	1 Mining or 1	Anti Power Stati	ons		
Made a in	n the A	ie ma	Drogoth	9					
	u uuc a	TIMET	DICAUL	6					
Mine	Rlast	Plume		FO & 1	Diasal	CO _v N	Ox, VOC	° 50v	
	Diast	I Ium		roæ	Diesei,	COX , IN	01, 100	s, 50x	
	ta in Tabl e 1 a teristics of gas								
	~	-							
Gas	Air	Blasting – Borehole	Backfill 1 with	Backfill 2	Landfill	Abandoned Mine	Residence		
			Limestone						
N_2	78.09%	89.29%	81.09%	78.00%	3.70%	87.77%	80.35%		
O ₂ Ar	20.95%	5.78%	7.29%	19.30% 0.93%	0.8%	0.30%	9.82% 0.96%		
Ar CO ₂	0.93%	3.86%	0.96%	1.43%	47.0%	8.96%	8.86%		
CO2	0.03%	0.0023%	10.0570	1.7570	0.1%	0%	0.0070		
CH4	0%	0.0003%	0.013%	0.0087%	47.4%	1.88%	0.15%		
the det	ng Gases: As ionation is not	ideal, the blas	t emits CO an	d nitrogen	oxides. If]	N ₂ , CO ₂ , and C	0		
the det compo		ideal, the blas mples are ele	t emits CO an vated, the gase	d nitrogen es may be l	oxides. If inked to bla	N ₂ , CO ₂ , and C	0		
the det compo levels	onation is not ments of the sa	ideal, the blas mples are ele gases are un	t emits CO an vated, the gase likely to be re	d nitrogen es may be l lated to bla	oxides. If inked to bla	N ₂ , CO ₂ , and C	0		
the det compo levels Source All explo formulati	onation is not onents of the sa of all three, the of Carbon B sives create an on, some explo	ideal, the blas mples are ele gases are un ased Gases amount of C osives produc	at emits CO and vated, the gase likely to be re- from Blast CO and CO ₂ . I be more CO th	d nitrogen es may be 1 lated to bla ting Depending an others.	oxides. If i inked to bla sting. on the oxy The comm	N2, CO2, and C asting. Withou gen balance o nonly used exp	CO it elevated f the dosives		
the det compo- levels Source All explo formulati contain a as an exa The bypr	onation is not onents of the sa of all three, the of Carbon B sives create an on, some explo	ideal, the blas mples are eler e gases are un ased Gases a amount of C osives produc on. For simp letonation of .	tt emits CO an vated, the gass likely to be re 5 from Blast CO and CO ₂ . 1 ce more CO th licity, ammon ANFO are pri	d nitrogen es may be 1 lated to bla ting Depending tan others. tium nitrate	oxides. If i inked to bla sting. on the oxy The comm and fuel o	N ₂ , CO ₂ , and C asting. Withou gen balance o nonly used exp il (ANFO) wi	CO it elevated f the plosives Il be given		
the det compo- levels Source All explo formulati contain a as an exa The bypr	tonation is not ments of the sa of all three, the of Carbon B sives create an on, some explo- n organic carb mple.	ideal, the blas mples are eler e gases are un ased Gases a amount of C osives produc on. For simp letonation of	tt emits CO an vated, the gass likely to be re 5 from Blast CO and CO ₂ . 1 ce more CO th licity, ammon ANFO are pri	d nitrogen es may be l lated to bla ting Depending an others. tium nitrate marily wat reaction ¹ :	oxides. If i inked to bla sting. on the oxy The comm and fuel o er (H ₂ O), r	N ₂ , CO ₂ , and C asting. Withou gen balance o nonly used exp il (ANFO) wi	CO it elevated f the plosives Il be given		
the det compo levels Source All explo formulati contain a as an exa The bypr dioxide (t Noxious ANFO, o whenever	tonation is not ments of the sa of all three, the of Carbon B sives create an on, some explo- n organic carb mple.	ideal, the blass mples are ele e gases are un ased Gasest a amount of C osives produc on. For simp letonation of. 3NH4NO3 erated when onation veloo	t emits CO an vated, the gass likely to be related from Blast O and CO ₂ 1 e more CO th likely, ammon ANFO are pri- b. In an ideal : + CH ₂ => 7H the fuel oil pu- tities are not a	Id nitrogen es may be 1 lated to bla ding Depending man others. tium nitrate marily wat reaction ¹ : $t_20 + CO_2 \neq$ ortion is in attained du	oxides. If j inked to bla sting. on the oxy The comm and fuel o er (H ₂ O), r - 3N ₂ correct, we e to inadeg (fumes or	N2, CO2, and C asting. Withou gen balance o ionly used exp il (ANFO) wi uitrogen (N2) a uitro gen (N2) a	CO at elevated f the olosives II be given II be given and carbon ced into the Generally,		
the defi compo levels Source All exploit contain a as an exa The bypr dioxide (Notions ANFC), o whenever These set The sour derived fi	tonation is not ments of the sa of all three, the of Carbon B sives create an on, some explo- model of the decom- model of the decom- size of the decom- ducts of the decom- fumes are gen r optimum deter the reaction	ideal, the blass mples are ele gases are un ased Gasses a amount of C osives produce on. For simp letonation of c societo people 3NH4NO3 becomes les ducts are CO both CO and both CO and	t emits CO an vated, the gass likely to be re- s from Blast CO and CO ₂ . 1 te more CO th licity. annoor ANFO are pri- b. In an ideal : + CH ₂ => 7H the de lo al p cities are not and nitrogen (1 CO ₂ is the fit was formed m	In difference of the set of the	on the oxy on the oxy The comm and fuel o er (H ₂ O), r - 3N ₂ correct, we to inadeq (fumes or s ₂).	N2, CO2, and C asting. Without gen balance o toonly used exp at (ANFO) without atter is introdu uate priming. "smoke") are rmogenic in o This will play	CO it elevated f the olosives II be given and carbon ced into the Generally, = produced.		
the defi compo levels Source All exploit contain a as an exa The bypr dioxide (Notions ANFC), o whenever These set The sour derived fi	ionation is not interpret of the sa- of all three, the of Carbon B sives create ar on, some expl- inganic carb mple. And the same same coducts of the d CO ₂), all notice fames are goo r optimum det the reaction condary bypro- ce of carbon in orm an organic	ideal, the blass mples are ele gases are un ased Gasses a amount of C osives produc on. For simp letonation of sxic to people 3NH4NO3 erated whea onation veloo becomes les ubucts are CO	it emits CO an vated, the gass likely to be re- be from Blast CO and CO ₂ . 1 is emore CO th licity, annoon ANFO are pri- be in an ideal : - that in the comparison of the second second setficient, to and nitrogen - to a formed m inter the source	d nitrogen es may be l lated to bla ding Depending an others, aum nitrate marily wat reaction ¹ : $(20 + CO_2 + orcriton is inattained duxxic gasesoxides (NCCnel oil (CFRalloins of ye as discusse a discuss$	oxides. If inked to blisting. on the oxy The comm and fuel o er (H ₂ O), r · 3N ₂ correct, we to inadeq (fumes or w).). It is the ears ago. · ears ago.	N2, CO2, and C asting. Without gen balance o toonly used exp at (ANFO) without atter is introdu uate priming. "smoke") are rmogenic in o This will play	CO it elevated slosives Il be given and carbon Cenerally, e produced. rigin, an		









Tab	hospita	lisation	due to cu	irrent expos	sure and sce	mortality and nario exposur he period 2006	
Hea	alth outcome	F	Pollutant	Time period	Scenario	Number of attributable cases (% increase / decrease compared to current)	Proportion of attributable cases (95% confidence interval)
S C	Asthma hospi emergency	tal	PM _{2.5}	Short- term	Current	124 cases	0.6% (0.4% to 0.8%)
H	department attendance 1–	14 years		exposure	Scenario 1 25 µg/m ³	: -34 (-27%)	
M		Powe	r Station	15	Scenario 2 20 µg/m ³	: -54 (-43%)	
1		Diese	el Exhau	st	Scenario 3 15 µg/m ³	: -74 (-59%)	\otimes
ľ	Respiratory he admissions	ospital	PM ₁₀	Short- term	Current	1130 cases	2.2% (0.2% to 4.3%)
S T	0-14 years			exposure	Scenario 1 50 μg/m ³	: -373 (-33%)	
J		Coal	Minin	g DUST	Scenario 2 40 µg/m ³	-588 (-49%)	
					Scenario 3 30 µg/m ³	-733 (-65%)	

Contraction and the second			
Eur Respir J 2005; 26: 309 D01: 10.1183/09031936.05. Copyright/IOERS Journals 1::	Coarse PM Mining Dust	effects	
	e		
REVIEW ASTHMA &	Cardiovascular Diseases		
Epidemiological evid	dence of effects of		
coarse airborne part			
B. Brunekreef* and B. Forsberg [#]			
focused on particles <10 μm in diameter (P coarse fraction of PMto, particles >2.5 μm, h different sources and composition compare review of studies that have analysed fine and evidence for effects of coarse particles on h Time series studies relating ambient PM to an independent effect of coarse PM on daily stronger for fine particles. The few long-term provide any evidence of association. In studies of chronic obstructive pulmor coarse PM has a stronger or as strong short may lead to adverse responses in the lungs t	mortality have in some places provided evidence mortality, but in most urban areas, the evidence studies of effects of coarse PM on survival do n ary disease, asthma and respiratory admission -term effect as fine PM, suggesting that coarse P riggering processes leading to hospital admission veen coarse PM and cardiovascular admissions. should be given to studying and regulating coarse	Treshine for Rick Assessment Sciences, Uwecht University, Utrecht, The Nutharlands. The Nutharlands. Modifier, Umca University, Utreat, The Nutharlands. Sweden. CORRESPONDENCE B. Branckred Unstatt for Rick Assessment Sciences Utrecht University PO Box 80176 3508 TD Utrecht The Northarlands Fax 31 302533499 E-weit- Nordswedend E-weit- Nordswedend E-w	
	Email:- ssheg@hotmail.com		Page 1
ville Hodkinson PhD			
	EG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations	Emissions	
ssH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant	Physical state	(g/kg wood)	
ssa Table 4. Wood smoke emission	15		
ssH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide	Physical state	(g/kg wood) 80-370	
ssH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane	Physical state	(g/kg wood) 80-370 14-25	
SSH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane Volatile organic compounds Benzene Toluene	Physical state Volatile	(g/kg wood) 80-370 14-25 7-27	
SSH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane Volatile organic compounds Benzene Toluene Phenol (and derivatives)	Physical state Volatile '' '' '' Volatile/Particulate	(g/kg wood) 80-370 14-25 7-27 0.6-4.0 0.15 -1.0 0.2-0.8	
SSH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane Volatile organic compounds Benzene Toluene Phenol (and derivatives) Nitrogen oxides (NO, NO ₂)	Physical state Volatile	(q/kg wood) 80-370 14-25 7-27 0.6-4.0 0.15 -1.0 0.2-0.8 0.2-0.9	
SSH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane Volatile organic compounds Benzene Toluene Phenol (and derivatives) Nitrogen oxides (NO, NO ₂) Sulfur dioxide	Physical state Volatile Volatile Volatile/Particulate Volatile Volatile	(q/kg wood) 80-370 14-25 7-27 0.6-4.0 0.15 -1.0 0.2-0.8 0.2-0.9 0.16-0.24	
SSH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane Volatile organic compounds Benzene Toluene Phenol (and derivatives) Nitrogen oxides (NO, NO ₂) Sulfur dioxide Total particle mass	Physical state Volatile '' '' '' Volatile/Particulate Volatile	(q/kg wood) 80-370 14-25 7-27 0.6-4.0 0.15 -1.0 0.2-0.8 0.2-0.9 0.16-0.24 7-30	
SSH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane Volatile organic compounds Benzene Toluene Phenol (and derivatives) Nitrogen oxides (NO, NO ₂) Sulfur dioxide Total particle mass Particulate organic carbon	Physical state Volatile Volatile Volatile/Particulate Volatile Volatile	(q/kg wood) 80-370 14-25 7-27 0.6-4.0 0.15 -1.0 0.2-0.8 0.2-0.9 0.16-0.24 7-30 2-20	
SSH Table 4. Wood smoke emission Pollutant Carbon monoxide Methane Volatile organic compounds Benzene Toluene Phenol (and derivatives) Nitrogen oxides (NO, NO ₂) Sulfur dioxide Total particle mass	Physical state Volatile '' '' '' Volatile/Particulate Volatile '' Particulate '' Particulate ''	(q/kg wood) 80-370 14-25 7-27 0.6-4.0 0.15 -1.0 0.2-0.8 0.2-0.9 0.16-0.24 7-30	

Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene Iron Source: US EPA December 1993

A kilogram of wood when burnt in a typical wood-fired stove can produce significantly harmful levels of gases, particles and other harmful compounds. The concentrations of the emitted pollutants are compared to the respective standard levels in Table 5.

Particulate

Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD

Email:- ssheg@hotmail.com

Page 9

3 x 10⁻⁶- 5 x 10⁻³

rfax Media	NE	WS JOBS DOM		NANCE MOBILE			
weathe	0000T	Proposed Hunter Valley Air Quality Community Advisory Alert					
Weather 🗸	Radar & Maps 🔻	Marine v	Agricut	ture Sur	f&Snow v		
ome > Pollen Forecas	<u>it</u> ≻ Sydney	1.					
Sydney 4-day Pol	len Forecast						
			Pollen I	orecast			
		Low Thursday Aug 28	High Friday Aug 29	Low Saturday Aug 30	Moderate Sunday Aug 31		
		🎇 19°C	🌟 19°C	🌦 19°C	21°C		
A.		Possible shower	Possible shower	Possible shower	Clearing showe		
		Wind: 9am - SSE 26km/h 3pm - S 32km/h Chance of rain: 80% Likely amount: 1-5mm	Wind: 9am - SSW 29km/h 3pm - SSW 37km/h Chance of rain: 60% Likely amount: < 1mm	80%	Wind: 9am - SW 16km/ 3pm - ESE 15km/h Chance of rain: 20% Likely amount: < 1mm		

SSHEG is Not Anti Mining or Anti Power Stations

Dr Neville Hodkinson PhD

Email:-ssheg@hotmail.com

APPENDIX M10

SSHEG Health Study References

"SSHEG submission (H1) to NSW Government 11 Nov 2009"

"SSHEG Document (H2) 2010 Independent Health Study for Singleton Shire including 27 Attachments Illustrating the individual Community Concerns".

SSHEG submission (H3) No 28 Senate Enquiry on "*Impacts on Health of Air Quality in Australia*" and S1-S22 attachments; and Supplementary Submission (H4) Diesel & Composite Particulates and S26–S29.

SSHEG Submission (H5) "Air Quality Australian Standards Oct 2014" and Attachments (H5a, A1-A6, No 82 NEPM Standards.

SSHEG submission,(H6) "National Clean Air Agreement April 2015".

Public Forum Presentation for the Singleton Community entitled "*What do we expect of the Expert Advisory Committee* "(**H7** as **Appendix M8** of this May 2015 SSHEG Review).

Dust Storms and Rain Squalls (**H8**); Is Air Quality Adversely Affecting the Health of Singleton Shire Residents? (**H8a**)

Gassings" (H2 p 9-12, H9),

SSHEG Document (H10) March 2014 "*Mining Pollution Mitigation Priority Action*" focusing on "Near Neighbours" being impacted by Mining.

SSHEG Air Pollution Health Study Review 2014 (H10a).

SSHEG submission (**H11**) to NSW Planning April 2015 calls for "*Elimination of Mine Blasting into the Air - Residents as Occupationally Exposed*" and **Attm 1-4.** (**Note ##**)

MTW Mine Blast "Gassing" on 20th September 2013 in Broke Bulga Micro Valley (H12) establishes that Blast Colour Best Practice Management Mine Plans does not Protect "Near Neighbours".

SSHEG Submission (H13) "Bulga Mine Optimisation 2014" further details the Broke-Bulga Micro Valley Air Drifting Patterns, and the Pollution Monitoring warranted to safeguard for children at Broke School.

Rocky Hill Mine Gloucester SSHEG Submission(H14)

SSHEG expected Health Study Methodology H15) based on Industry OH&S Hazardous Risk Analysis.

Dr Craig Dalton "A submission (*H16*) "How to investigate the impact of coal Mining on Community Health", and based on the "Contribution of toxicological and epidemiological data to understand cause and effect" from Adami.4

(H17) Hans-Olov Adami, Colin L. Berry, Charles B. Breckenridge, et al. Toxicology and Epidemiology: Improving the Science with a Framework for Combining Toxicological and Epidemiological Evidence to Establish Causal Inference. TOXICOLOGICAL SCIENCES 122(2), 223–234 (2011)

Centre for Air Quality and Health Research and evaluation (CAR)(H18) Semimar proceedings Monash Centre Melbourne 2nd April 2015 "Toxicology Workshop". Also Epidemiology CAR Seminars Sydney & Newcastle Sept 2013 SSHEG Outline (H19).

Nelson et al - June 2011 (H20) QUANTIFICATION, SPECIATION AND MORPHOLOGY OF RESPIRABLE SILICA IN THE VICINITY OF OPEN-CUT COAL MINES IN THE HUNTER VALLEY, NSW. ACARP PROJECT C18026 FINAL REPORT

Anthony L. Morrison and Peter F. Nelson Graduate School of the Environment Macquarie University

(H21) SSHEG Poster Presentation Mining Dialogue Dec 2014

(H22) SSHEG Appraisal "Epidemiology 2013 Air Pollution and Human Health".

SSHEG May 2015 Review Appendices

- **APPENDIX M1** SSHEG Mitigation Priority Action List
- APPENDIX M2 Mining Dialogue 2011 Workshop Report
- **APPENDIX M3** Mining Dialogue 2011 Stakeholder Survey
- **APPENDIX M4** Mining Dialogue Meeting SSHEG Notes 2015
- **APPENDIX M5** SSHEG NEPM Standards Submission
- **APPENDIX M6** SSHEG National Clean Air Agreement
- **APPENDIX M7** SSHEG Review 2015 Presentation
- **APPENDIX M8** SSHEG Community Briefing Dec 2010
- **APPENDIX M9** SSHEG Health Study Issues Dec 2014
- **APPENDIX M10** SSHEG Health Study References